

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/866,607	05/30/2001	Tomoharu Umekawa	Q64367	5522
7.	590 05/21/2004		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN,			ROBINSON, GRETA LEE	
MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	OC 20037-3213		2177	7
			DATE MAILED: 05/21/2004	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• ,			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/866,607	UMEKAWA, TOMOHARU	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Greta L. Robinson	2177	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re reply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	March 2004.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 16 March 2004 is/are		cted to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		<u> </u>	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` '	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		• •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	an priority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	g. p. p. a.		
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		pplication No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
application from the International Bure		•	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies not r	eceived.	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6</u> .	6) Other:		

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/866,607

Art Unit: 2177

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 1-5 are pending in the present application.
- 2. Claims 1 and 5 have been amended.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on March 22, 2004 has been considered by the examiner note attached copy.

Drawings

4. The drawings were received on March 16, 2004. These drawings are approved.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Feibelman et al. US Patent 6,499,017 B1.

Application/Control Number: 09/866,607

Art Unit: 2177

Regarding claim 1, Feibelman et al. teaches "a data provision device for providing a user with data" note communication devices interface element 40 figure 1; "a data provision request reception means for receiving data" note network and I/O ports element 21 figure 3; "table storage means" note col. 5 lines 15-27; "data identifying information reading means for reading out from said table identifying information" see col. 5 lines 15-27; "determination means" note provisioning engine 30, figure 1; and "data transmission means" see figure 2, I/O ports.

Regarding claims 2-4, Feibelman et al. teaches list generation means and list transmission means note figure 3 (35); a charge process see order database (32) figure 3; and information acquisition means also note figure 3 (32).

7. The limitations of claim 5 parallel claim 1, therefore it is rejected under the same rationale.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed March 16, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In the response Applicant argued that the Examiner did not state how the structure and operation of Feibelman et al. would anticipate the claimed invention. In response to Applicant's argument Feibelman et al. teaches a method of provisioning communication devices (i.e. a data provision device) which preferably includes transferring a customer order to an order database [note abstract]. Feibelman et al. teaches that if a rule exists, then the provisioning script based upon the rule is

Application/Control Number: 09/866,607

Art Unit: 2177

served or transferred to the output SPD for execution to thereby provision the one or more communication devices necessary to complete the customer order or request note column 6 lines 22-56. Feibelman et al's teaching of customization of script provides flexibility of functions such as transmission protocols note column 6 lines 5-9.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., (1) the processor does not perform the same function as *the server* of the present invention and (2) there is no consideration of whether a *duplicate order* and *payment* will be made) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Camaisa et al. US patent 5,845,263

10. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Page 5

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Greta L. Robinson whose telephone number is (703) 308-7565. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 9:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E. Breene can be reached on (703) 305-9790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner May 14, 2004